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Commissioning decisions around health and social care are increasingly being devolved to locality or district level. These decisions need to be based on a good understanding of local needs, drawn from both a sound analysis of statistical data and an awareness of the views of partners, local residents and service users, as well as a comprehensive review of the relevant evidence base.

The first Manchester Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) was published in November 2008 and contained a broad strategic assessment of needs across the city. In that document we made a commitment to producing a series of Locality JSNAs to look in more detail at the needs of people living in different parts of Manchester in order to guide commissioning decisions relating to these areas.

This document is the first of these Locality JSNAs. It is unique in providing a joined-up picture of health and social needs and existing strategic priorities that look across organisational boundaries to encompass NHS Manchester and its practice-based Commissioning hubs, the Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services, Regeneration and Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust. As such, it is intended to guide the work, not only of individual organisations, but of those organisations acting in partnership with others.

The production of this document has truly been a collaborative effort and we would like to acknowledge the efforts of the Locality JSNA Working Groups and the Manchester Joint Health Unit in helping to bring this process to fruition.

The findings of the Locality JSNA will be incorporated in the Manchester JSNA as part of a revision of this document to begin in autumn 2010.

Signed

Laura Roberts
Chief Executive,
NHS Manchester

David Regan
Director of Public Health and Partnerships,
NHS Manchester

Liz Bruce
Strategic Director for Adults,
Manchester City Council

Pauline Newman
Director of Children’s Services,
Manchester City Council
South Manchester is today regarded as one of the most successful and desirable parts of the city. From the affluent and vibrant suburbs of Chorlton and Didsbury to the major open space of the Mersey Valley, the generally held perception of South Manchester is of successful suburbs focused on attractive ‘village’ centres with relatively affluent and well-educated populations.

The reality is that while there are successful neighbourhoods across South Manchester, there are also areas that have not benefited in the same way, and there are pockets of deprivation across the area, with high levels of worklessness, low skill levels and poor health among the population. In addition, the pressures of success in the area, including increasingly expensive housing, growing student numbers in rented housing outside the traditional student locations, and congestion on many routes through the area, are among the number of issues that need to be addressed.

Wythenshawe is a distinctive district, separated from the rest of Manchester by motorways and green spaces. The sense of separateness, or distinctiveness, is most often a source of pride for residents, although sometimes they can feel overlooked in terms of service delivery and access to services in general. The area is remarkably green in comparison with the rest of Manchester, including 27 woods and 13 parks. The largest park is Wythenshawe Park, which is the second largest in Manchester.

The motorway system divides Wythenshawe into recognisably different areas that people identify with, including Northenden village, Northern Moor, Baguley, Benchill and Woodhouse Park. Some of these areas are among the most deprived in the country. The distribution of public service resources across these areas varies according to need and practice. Sometimes that distribution can cause tensions as people perceive different levels of delivery and different opportunities to gain access to services.
Chapter 1: Background to JSNA and Locality JSNA

What is a JSNA?

The Local Government and Community Involvement in Health Act 2008 placed a statutory duty on Directors of Public Health, Directors of Directorate for Adults and Directors of Children’s Services to produce a Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) for their local area. The JSNA is intended to be ‘the means by which Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) and local authorities will describe the future health, care and wellbeing needs of the local population and the strategic direction of service delivery to meet these needs.’ The JSNA is expected to influence the commissioning process across both health and social care, underpin the development of the Local Area Agreement (LAA) and support the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) process.

The Manchester JSNA

The work to develop and produce the JSNA was sponsored by the Manchester Public Service Board (PSB) and led by the Manchester Joint Health Unit (JHU) with the support of a multi-agency Working Group. This is made up of representatives of NHS Manchester, Manchester City Council Research and Intelligence Team, Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services and the Manchester Local Involvement Network (LINk). A range of other partners, including the Housing Information Unit, Drugs and Alcohol Team (DAAT), Cultural Strategy Team, Manchester Public Health Development Service, and the Health Protection Unit, were brought in to write individual sections of the document and provide expert advice.

The first edition of the Manchester JSNA was published in November 2008. A public summary document was also produced with the assistance of a freelance journalist in order to help engage local residents and service users with the ongoing development of the JSNA. Both documents are available to download electronically via the Manchester City Council website (see www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna).

A set of summary Health Factsheets for each of the 32 wards in the city was also produced to provide some local context to the JSNA, and these are also available via the Manchester City Council website (see www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna/wardfactsheets).

The current version of the Manchester JSNA focuses on providing a baseline assessment of need across the city as a whole. It describes the local commissioning context, including existing service provision, and goes on to outline the current health and social care needs of the population, the drivers for change and their likely impact. A key element of the document is a series of recommendations that highlights the range of actions commissioners need to consider when seeking to address health and social care needs in the city.

The Manchester JSNA has been used to support a number of key commissioning strategies across the city, including the NHS Manchester Commissioning Strategic Plan (CSP) and the Directorate for Adults Prevention Strategy. The JSNA has also fed into the city-wide Children and Young People’s Plan (CYPP) and Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust’s Integrated Business Plan. An initial review of the JSNA work to date has shown that the process has helped to further strengthen and widen joint working and has provided a useful baseline of data and processes to support more joined-up commissioning in the future.

In January 2010, a JSNA Supplement was produced to summarise the work that has been done over the previous year. It contains a detailed summary of the results of a piece of work that has been commissioned to calculate a series of Population Impact Measures (PIMs) for a number of the specific recommendations contained in the JSNA, as well as providing a progress report on the development of Locality JSNAs.

The document also looks at the progress that has been made with regard to evaluating the JSNA process to date and its impacts. The publication of the JSNA Supplement reflects the fact that the JSNA is very much an ongoing process that seeks to inform the development of joint commissioning across the NHS and the Council, including Practice Based Commissioning (PBC) and district level commissioning of services for children and adults.
Locality Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNAs)

Why produce Locality JSNAs?
The idea of producing Locality JSNAs was identified very early in the process and was one of the key next steps identified in the Manchester JSNA. It reflects the fact that the process of commissioning health and social care services for adults and children is increasingly being devolved down to smaller geographical localities (e.g. PBC hubs and children’s services districts). Local commissioning decisions – like those taken at a city, national or regional level – need to be based on a sound understanding of the needs and priorities of the population, drawn from both an analysis of statistical data and a gathering of the views of the local population and service users.

The shared aim of the Locality JSNA work is to support NHS Manchester, Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services and other commissioning agencies in the city by:

• Providing analysis and interpretation of the available data and research evidence at locality level in order to support commissioning activities at local/district level which, in turn, feed into the city-wide priorities

• Supporting the move towards a common approach to needs assessment among Children’s Services districts and other partners to inform District Children and Young People’s Plans over the next year and ensure consistency between these plans and other local strategies

• Joining-up community engagement work across the three main partners in order to better understand the needs and perspectives of local residents and service users

• Providing a greater opportunity to focus on internal inequalities by benchmarking within the city and against city averages.

In summary, the process of developing the Locality JSNA is intended to help commissioners working at a locality level to develop a common understanding of the current and future needs of local residents and service users.

How have we gone about producing the Locality JSNAs?

Preliminary workshops
The process of developing the Locality JSNAs began in December 2008 and February 2009 with two stakeholder workshops. These sought to introduce the concept of Locality JSNAs and described some of the theory underpinning them to commissioners and information analysts from across NHS Manchester, Directorate for Adults and Children’s Services.

These workshops highlighted the fact that, although individual commissioners often have a good understanding of the needs of their specific client group or locality, this knowledge may not be shared at a strategic level or across disciplines. Furthermore, commissioners working at locality level rarely have the time or resources to develop as systematic a picture of local needs as they would have liked. The workshops also highlighted the importance of ensuring that the processes and the resulting outputs are owned by individual localities. It was felt that working with, rather than on behalf of, local commissioners would give local areas a greater stake in the outputs of the work and increase the likelihood of the JSNAs becoming a central part of the commissioning cycle.

In order to finalise the proposals for the development of Locality JSNAs, a meeting of JSNA leads from each of the localities within the city was held on 15 June 2009. At this meeting, agreement was reached regarding a number of key questions, including the number of Locality JSNAs that should be produced and the membership and role of the Locality JSNA Working Groups. An initial set of timescales and milestones for producing the first draft of the Locality JSNA and final version of the document was also agreed, although these were subsequently revised by the individual Working Groups.

The question of how many Locality JSNAs should be produced highlighted the discrepancy between the number of PBC hubs in the city (three) and the number of Children’s Services/ Directorate for Adults districts (six). As a compromise, these Locality JSNAs each cover one PBC hub and two Children’s Services/ Directorate for Adults districts.
This provides an overall picture of a locality while still allowing users to drill down to districts in order to amplify the focus on certain areas, eg. Wythenshawe.

**Locality JSNA Working Groups**

The majority of the work to produce the Locality JSNAs has been carried out and owned by the JSNA Working Groups in each locality. The membership of these groups reflects the multi-agency and multidisciplinary nature of the JSNA process and includes commissioners, policy officers, public health specialists, regeneration officers and consultation/engagement managers from each of the partner organisations. Each group has been working to common terms of reference but have adopted slightly different ways of working and have different membership lists. A full list of the members of each Working Group is contained in an appendix to this report.

The Working Groups were tasked with overseeing the collection of associated local intelligence for their JSNA and identifying the strategic priorities for their area. In order to provide some co-ordination of the core process across all three districts and ensure that the content of the Locality JSNAs is consistent with the information contained in the city-wide JSNA, project management and administrative support have been provided by the Manchester Joint Health Unit. The Health Intelligence Team has also provided additional analytical support where required.

**Locality JSNA Core Dataset**

In order to support the work of the Locality JSNA Working Groups, a Core Dataset set has been compiled. This contains more than 70 separate indicators grouped within 13 topic areas. The data has been drawn mainly from existing national and local datasets and information products, eg. Paycheck, that are accessible to individual partners. In most cases, the content of the dataset is consistent with the information contained within the Manchester Partnership’s State of the Wards Report but has been supplemented with data supplied by partner agencies where relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy and employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and expenditure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and attainment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime, perceptions of crime and safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual and collective self-esteem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertility and births</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunisation, screening and prevention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-related behaviour, illness and disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directorate for Adults activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The information in the Core Dataset has been presented at electoral ward level and has been cross-referenced to provide a match between each ward and the Directorate for Adults and Children’s Services districts, the Practice Based Commissioning hubs and the Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) areas. The Core Dataset also contains an in-built charting functionality.

**Strategic prioritisation matrices and narratives**

Discussions of the agencies that work at locality level have highlighted the fact that many of the individual partners have already been through (or are currently going through) a process of identifying their strategic priorities for the immediate future. In recognition of this fact, a strategic prioritisation matrix has been developed to collate and synthesise these priorities across each of the three localities within Manchester. The matrix is designed to help partners to assess the extent to which their strategic priorities overlap or conflict with those of other organisations in the localities and to identify areas where working in partnership could strengthen and reinforce work that is already going on at individual agency level.
Analysis of information in the Locality JSNA Core Dataset provides a way of ‘sense checking’ each partner’s choice of priorities and identifying areas for joint action not already highlighted through the strategic prioritisation matrix for a particular locality.

Funding
The process of developing the Locality JSNAs has been funded by a grant of £20,000 from the Department for Health, which NHS Manchester received for participating in the National JSNA Dataset Project. This project has been jointly sponsored by the Department for Health, the NHS Information Centre and the Improvement and Development Agency (IDeA) and was set up to identify and share innovative local best practice in carrying out JSNAs from across England and to understand the different elements of what constitutes a strong JSNA. The construction of Locality JSNAs has been Manchester’s contribution to this national work.

More information on the National JSNA Dataset Project and the work of the other pilot sites are available on the NHS Information Centre website (see www.ic.nhs/jsna).

Locality JSNAs and other strategic plans
These Locality JSNAs are designed to feed into a range of other strategic plans and assessments, including the Local Area Agreement (LAA), NHS Manchester Commissioning Strategic Plan (CSP), Children and Young People’s Plan (CYPP), the Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) documents, and Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust’s Integrated Business Plan. They do this by providing a commonly agreed description of health and social care needs in the city and of the actions that individual partners can take towards addressing these needs through their role as commissioners of services for the people of Manchester.

This document will be of particular importance to those partners who are just beginning to develop their role as locality commissioners. For them, the Locality JSNA provides a useful baseline against which to measure the impact of their work. For others, the Locality JSNA will feed into a refresh of existing plans or strategies and will provide them with new and updated information about the health and social care needs of people living in their areas.

In a similar manner, all efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of the Locality JSNA are consistent with the direction of travel underpinning other strategic plans. Key policy drivers, such as personalisation, the Think Family approach and prevention, are central planks of the Locality JSNAs.

Chapter 5 of this document contains a list of plans and strategies that are associated with and influenced by the Locality JSNA.

Structure of document
The rest of this document falls into three main sections:

1. A description of locality structures that have been adopted (or are being adopted) by Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services, Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust and NHS Manchester. This provides additional information on the context within which each of the statutory partners is operating.

2. A profile of the health and social care needs of the population in this locality based on ward-level data in the Locality JSNA Core Dataset. To aid comparison, the profile follows the same broad structure as the Manchester JSNA.

3. A summary of the common priorities for action in the locality based on the strategic priority themes identified by Locality JSNA Working Groups.

The final part of the Locality JSNA highlights future areas for joined-up working by identifying a small number of common issues that might be better tackled by working in a more joined-up manner in the future and how this might be achieved.
Chapter 2: Locality partnership arrangements

This section contains a description of the locality structures that have been adopted (or are being adopted) by Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services and NHS Manchester. The table summarises the wards that make up each locality.

**Directorate for Adults districts**

Adults Social Care has now become the Directorate for Adults. This is to reflect the wider range of services that contribute to the quality of life for the adults in Manchester and which are part of the Directorate, including Supporting People and Homelessness. The Directorate for Adults organises and delivers its services in 12 localities, which are grouped to form six districts:

- North East
- North West
- Central East
- Central West
- South
- Wythenshawe.

Each District Team consists of two Locality Teams with responsibility for commissioning, assessment and care management. A number of city-wide teams, such as the Older People’s Review Team, Drugs/HIV and Alcohol, are also based with the District Teams. As well as commissioning services for a particular district, the Lead Commissioner has a city-wide lead on commissioning for a specific topic.

There are also three Acute Hospital Teams, covering the North, Central and South parts of the city.

**Children’s Services districts**

As part of the establishment of Manchester Children’s Trust Arrangements, many services are now delivered through one of the six Children’s Services districts:

- North East
- North West
- Central East
- Central West
- South
- Wythenshawe.

These have joint management teams comprising senior staff from Health, Children’s Social Care and Education who work together to improve outcomes for children, young people and their families.

Each district has a District Wide Leadership Team (DWLT), which reports to the Children’s Trust Leadership Team (CTLT). These teams include a district manager from Children’s Social Care, a general manager representing the health sector and the head of the Education Service plus a headteacher and voluntary sector representative.
### Table 2: List of wards in each locality area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward name</th>
<th>Directorate for Adults and Children’s Services district</th>
<th>NHS Manchester Practice-based Commissioning (PBC) hubs</th>
<th>Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ancoats and Clayton</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardwick</td>
<td>Central East</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baguley</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklands</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnage</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlestown</td>
<td>North West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheetham</td>
<td>North West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorlton</td>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorlton Park</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Centre</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>City Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crumpsall</td>
<td>North West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didsbury East</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didsbury West</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallowfield</td>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorton North</td>
<td>Central East</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorton South</td>
<td>Central East</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harpurhey</td>
<td>North West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Blackley</td>
<td>North West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulme</td>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levenshulme</td>
<td>Central East</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longsight</td>
<td>Central East</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles Platting and Newton Heath</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moss Side</td>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moston</td>
<td>North West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northenden</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Moat</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusholme</td>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharston</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whalley Range</td>
<td>Central West</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withington</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodhouse Park</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Wythenshawe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust – Patch Model

Within its Integrated Business Plan, the Trust has specified that as its first delivery principle, the user experience must be at the centre of care, and individuals need to be seen in the context of their local neighbourhoods and communities. Similarly, the experience of care must involve simple access to services and recognise individuals’ existing natural supports.

The Trust is committed to ensuring that care provision promotes opportunities for individuals and carers to learn more about managing their own care, while having the sensitivity and capacity to ensure that people are supported in an appropriate, effective and timely way. The Trust believes that care pathways, resources and efforts of other agencies must be co-ordinated close to the individual within their ‘community patch’. Above all the Trust will work with the wider community and its partners in the third sector, health, social care, housing and employment, so that people do not ‘fall between’ sources of help and support.

The Trusts new approach will embrace the notion that no referral is an inappropriate referral. The Trust will ensure that responsibility is taken to ensure an individual/referrer receives the correct support, either from the Trust itself or by signposting them to others where the Trust will ensure their care is transferred appropriately and effectively. The Trust has called this The Patch Model, an initiative which will closely mirror that of the Council’s, defining the city in terms of six locality areas for the provision of services.

NHS Manchester Practice-based Commissioning (PBC) hubs

Practice-based Commissioning (PBC) is a policy designed to provide primary healthcare professionals with the resources and support to become more involved in the commissioning and development of health services. Clinicians are in the ideal position to assess, redesign and deliver services that respond to the needs of their patients, tailoring services to be provided in the community. Patients will be offered more convenient and appropriate treatment closer to home and will be able to benefit from more personalised care.

In Manchester, PBC has been developed in three hubs.

- North Manchester: 36 GP practices
- Central Manchester: 43 GP practices
- South Manchester: 25 GP practices.

Each hub mirrors a local clinical community, contains a large hospital provider and is part of one of the three distinct health economies that make up Manchester.

Each hub is supported by a management team that is led by the Associate Director of Commissioning but which calls upon skills and expertise from across NHS Manchester.

Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) areas

Manchester City Council has established six regeneration initiatives in different parts of the city. These are responsible for working in partnership with local businesses, to boost the quality of life and local economy, support business and create jobs. Initiatives include facilities for leisure, health and shopping, improving the environment, building new homes and repairing existing ones, tackling crime and providing training opportunities and facilities for children and young people.

- North Manchester
- Central Manchester
- East Manchester*
- South Manchester
- Wythenshawe
- City Centre.

* Regeneration work in East Manchester is led by an Urban Regeneration Company, New East Manchester Ltd, which was established in 1999.
The regeneration of the city centre is overseen by an independent management company (Cityco), which represents businesses in the city centre.

Each regeneration area has produced a Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF). These documents define the needs and priorities for each regeneration area in order to prioritise investment and activity in the area and align them with the major opportunities to deliver a sustainable future for the area.

Underpinning the SRFs are local plans and ward plans. Local plans have been developed where neighbourhoods will undergo significant long-term transformation. They analyse the existing physical, social, economic and environmental conditions in an area and bring together the physical requirements of a neighbourhood, eg. new and improved housing, new schools, new community facilities and new retail facilities, with actions to address the social, economic and environmental issues affecting the area.
Part A: Local population and neighbourhoods

**Headlines**

- Wythenshawe wards have a higher proportion of older people than South Manchester wards.
- Wards in Wythenshawe have much lower proportions of BME groups than South Manchester wards.
- Most wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe have an above-average life expectancy compared to the rest of Manchester.
- Most Wythenshawe wards expect a population increase of approximately 10–15% by 2015. South Manchester wards overall expect a smaller increase, although Didsbury West and Burnage are set to increase at approximately 10–20%.
- Residents of Wythenshawe report less satisfaction with their area as a place to live than residents of South Manchester wards.

It is important to look at population demographics when assessing the health needs of any area, as age, gender and ethnicity can all affect the need for different services.
Population size and structure

The resident population of wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe vary in size from the smallest (Withington, with approximately 11,500) to Sharston (approximately 15,500). The graph below shows the proportion of adults in each ward over retirement age. South Manchester and Wythenshawe as a whole is below the national average but above the average for Manchester as a whole. Wythenshawe has higher proportions of older people and under-16s than South Manchester wards; this could indicate that persons of working age may move away from the area.

Source: ONS Mid-2007 Resident Population Estimates
Ethnicity

It is currently estimated that 23.1% of the population of Manchester is from a non-white ethnic group. Locally derived estimates of the ethnic minority population at ward level show that people from particular ethnic groups tend to be concentrated in certain parts of the city. In South Manchester and Wythenshawe the largest proportions of non-white ethnic groups (including those from mixed backgrounds) can be found in the South, where BME populations range from 15–25%. Wards in Wythenshawe have far lower proportions of non-white ethnic groups, ranging from 5–8%. Black groups are most likely to live in Chorlton Park (4.2%) and Old Moat (3%), although these are relatively small proportions in comparison to the rest of the city. Asian groups in South Manchester are most likely to live in Withington (12.2%) or Burnage (10.8%).

Population projections

The population of Manchester is expected to grow by approximately 75,000 over the next ten years. As this happens, the age, gender and ethnic structure will also be altered. More detail can be found in the city-wide JSNA. Projections for 2015 suggest that the wards most affected in South Manchester will be Didsbury West and Burnage, with population increases estimated to be 20.8% and 12.4% respectively; this would take the populations up to approximately 14,000 and 16,000. Most wards in Wythenshawe are estimated to increase at a rate of between 10–15%, although Brooklands and Sharston are projected to decrease by approximately 2%.
Life expectancy

During the period 2006–08, life expectancy at birth in Manchester was estimated to be 76.2 years. In South Manchester and Wythenshawe there are four wards that fall short of this average: Chorlton Park (75.2 years), Old Moat (75.6 years), Baguley (75.9 years) and Sharston (75.3 years). South Manchester wards have higher life expectancy than Wythenshawe wards.

Sense of belonging

The Place survey asked residents about their sense of belonging to their local area and their satisfaction with their area as a place to live. Across Manchester as a whole, 48% of residents reported that they felt a sense of belonging to their local area. In Wythenshawe wards, residents report less of a sense of belonging than residents in South Manchester wards. In South Manchester, only Old Moat (38.6%) and Withington (30.1%) fall short of the city average, whereas in Wythenshawe only Brooklands is above. A very similar pattern is seen between Wythenshawe and South Manchester when looking at satisfaction with the local area as a place to live. Wythenshawe is generally close to or below the city average, but in the South there are four wards in which over 80% of residents are satisfied: Burnage, Chorlton Park, East Didsbury and West Didsbury.
Part B: Socioeconomic and environmental factors

**Headlines**
- On the whole, Wythenshawe wards are more deprived than South Manchester wards, and within Wythenshawe only Brooklands has lower levels of deprivation than the city-wide average.
- Households in Wythenshawe wards have lower incomes and higher unemployment than South Manchester wards.
- Wythenshawe wards have higher than city-wide average proportions of residents that claim income support, incapacity benefits and severe disablement allowance. In contrast to this, most wards in South Manchester have lower-than-average claimant rates.
- Antisocial behaviour and fear of crime are more prevalent in Wythenshawe wards than South Manchester.
- Wythenshawe wards have much high proportions of social housing, whereas South Manchester wards have higher proportions of private dwellings.

Socioeconomic factors have a major influence on health and commissioners need to consider these when developing new or existing services. In particular, research has shown that people living in areas of greater deprivation are more likely to:
- Suffer from higher levels of chronic disease, disability and premature death, and from various adverse effects of ageing at earlier stages of their life course
- Require more complex treatment and experience poorer outcomes from health and social care services
- Have difficulties with accessing health and social care services and are more likely to come into contact with services at a later stage of their condition and fail to engage with health promotion and disease prevention activities
- Achieve poorer educational outcomes.

**Deprivation**

The Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2007 is a composite measure of deprivation for small geographical areas that attempts to combine a number of different aspects of deprivation (more detail is given in Manchester JSNA). The average Manchester IMD score is 44.5, which is more than double the national average of 21.7. The graph below shows the deprivation scores assigned to wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe; a higher score indicates greater deprivation. In South wards there are relatively low levels of deprivation; Burnage has the highest IMD score with 42.2. Nearly all the wards in Wythenshawe have a higher deprivation score than the city-wide average of 44.5, and only Brooklands is lower. On the whole, Wythenshawe is more deprived than South Manchester.

The importance of early years is well documented in the Manchester Public Health Annual Report and the Marmot report ‘Fair society, Healthy lives’. The Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) shows the percentage of 0 to 15-year-old children in an area that live in families that are income deprived, i.e. in receipt of income support, income-based Jobseeker’s Allowance and working families tax credit). Eight out of the eleven wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe have above-average IDACI scores, and the pattern of deprivation is similar to the IMD. Wythenshawe is more deprived than South Manchester, but within the South, Burnage, Chorlton Park and Old Moat appear considerably more deprived than the rest.
Figure 4: IMD 2007 score

Source: Dept of Communities and Local Government 2007

Figure 5: IDACI 2007 score

Source: Dept of Communities and Local Government 2007
Income levels are a strong indicator of deprivation. People with a higher level of income are likely to have a better standard of living, which, in turn, may lead to improved health. The mean income of a family in Wythenshawe is below that of South Manchester, where Chorlton Park, East Didsbury and West Didsbury earn on average in excess of £35,000 (the national average). Most wards in Wythenshawe have an average mean income below £30,000; Woodhouse Park has the lowest mean income of £26,700.

Figure 6: Mean income (£), 2009

Source: CACI (Paycheck) 2009
Unemployment

The links between meaningful employment and health are well established. Adverse effects associated with unemployment include:

- higher levels of smoking and alcohol consumption
- more weight gain
- reduced physical activity and exercise
- higher use of illicit drugs and prescribed antidepressants
- reduced psychological wellbeing and greater mental ill health (including a higher incidence of self-harm, depression and anxiety).

Unemployment rates are measured at ward level by the proportion of working-age population claiming Jobseeker’s Allowance (JSA). This does not include those individuals who are unemployed and do not claim JSA. Unemployment rates in Wythenshawe and South wards are vastly different. All South wards have unemployment rates below the Manchester average of 5.6%. In Wythenshawe, nearly all wards are above 5.7%, with Sharston the highest at 7.7% of residents unemployed. Brooklands has the lowest unemployment rates in Wythenshawe with 5.3% of residents unemployed.

Figure 7: Unemployment rate (%), May 2010

Source: ONS (May 2010)
**Welfare benefits**

Research has shown that poor health is closely linked with people’s income levels. Data on income is not routinely available on a national basis but the uptake of welfare benefits, such as Income Support (IS) and Incapacity Benefit/Severe Disablement Allowance (IB/SDA), can provide a useful proxy. (Note that Incapacity Benefit is being replaced by Employment and Support Allowance).

In November 2008, the proportion of the population claiming for each of these follows a similar pattern across South Manchester and Wythenshawe. In November 2008, Wythenshawe wards have a higher proportion of claimants than South Manchester. In Wythenshawe nearly all wards exceed the city average for all three indicators. In the South, Burnage, Old Moat and Chorlton Park have higher claimant rates for all indicators, although these tend to still be lower than claimant rates in Wythenshawe. Burnage is the only South ward to exceed the city-wide average for all three indicators.

**Figure 8: Income Support claimants, November 2008**

Source: Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) November 2008
Source: Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) November 2008

Figure 9: Incapacity Benefit/Severe Disablement Allowance claimants, November 2008

Source: Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) November 2008

Figure 10: Lone parents claiming Income Support, November 2008
Crime

Fear of crime can lead to individuals withdrawing from public spaces, increasing their risk of depression, stress and sleeping difficulties, and altering people’s lifestyles in a way that reduces their quality of life and impacts on their mental and physical health.

People living in wards in Wythenshawe feel less safe during daylight hours than those in South Manchester, whose perception of safety tends to be above the average for Manchester (79%). In Wythenshawe, only Northenden reaches the city-wide average for feeling safe during the daytime outside. At night-time at least 70% of the residents in every ward in Wythenshawe do not feel safe. In comparison the residents of only two wards in South Manchester say the same: Burnage (72.4%) and Old Moat (85%). Across South Manchester and Wythenshawe the feeling of safety at night is far below the Manchester average, with the exception of only three wards: Chorlton Park, Didsbury East and Didsbury West.

In terms of motor vehicle theft, most South Manchester wards recorded over 150; Burnage has a low number of thefts at approximately 100 and Chorlton Park and Didsbury West have the highest numbers with 352 and 327 respectively. Wythenshawe wards range from Sharston with the lowest (135), to Baguley with the highest (314). For ‘serious acquisitive’ crime, South Manchester seems to have more cases than Wythenshawe; Chorlton Park is highest (808) and Didsbury East is lowest (408).

Incidents of antisocial behaviour are higher in Wythenshawe than South Manchester, with four out of the five wards reporting over 1,500 incidents; only Brooklands (1,385) is below. In South Manchester, five out of six wards saw fewer than 1,500 incidents of antisocial behaviour.

Figure 11: Feeling safe in daytime (%), 2008/09

Source: The Place Survey 2008/09
Domestic burglaries in South Manchester are generally higher than in Wythenshawe; Old Moat (352) and Chorlton Park (339) experience the most. Wythenshawe wards range between 150 and 200 burglaries.

![Incidents of antisocial behaviour, 2008/09](source: GMAC data hub 2008/09)
Housing

There is a strong relationship between housing and health:

• Poor energy efficiency and thermal conditions can impact on flu, heart disease, stroke and respiratory illness.

• Housing in a poor state of repair can increase the risk of accident, serious injury and death among its occupants.

• Lack of modern facilities can impact on living conditions, physical and mental health, eg. accidents in the bathroom and kitchen due to poor layout and broken utilities.

More specifically, there are well-established links between damp dwellings and respiratory symptoms, such as asthma and bronchitis, in more vulnerable sections of the population, such as children or older people. There is also evidence of a strong link between cold homes and poor health. Temperatures below 16°C put people at a significantly higher risk of respiratory and cardiovascular conditions. Temperatures below 10°C increase the risk of hypothermia, especially for the elderly. Addressing fuel poverty can help to reduce the number of excess winter deaths that occur in Manchester each year.

Housing quality

Living in poor and overcrowded housing is also closely linked with poverty and social deprivation which, in turn, has a strong impact on people’s health.

In terms of private sector housing, overcrowding is defined as a household with one or more bedrooms short of the Bedroom Standard\(^1\). Overcrowding has a detrimental effect on health; communicable diseases are easily passed on and individuals’ mental health can be affected, ie. lack of privacy, living space. Overcrowding can be found particularly in areas with a high influx of migrant workers and also where large families sharing living space is a cultural norm. South Manchester wards have considerably higher rates of overcrowding than Wythenshawe wards. This could be because only private sector housing was accounted for in this survey and private sector housing is less regulated. Social housing is estimated to have lower rates of overcrowding, as where overcrowding occurs efforts are made to rehouse residents.

When looking at the stock condition, there is a higher percentage of homes that fall below the ‘Decent Homes’ standard in South Manchester wards than in Wythenshawe. As with overcrowding, this indicator may be affected by the survey only looking at private sector housing.

Housing type

For commissioners, it is important to be aware of changes in the type and quality of the dwelling stock because this can provide an early indication of the future health and social care needs of the local population. Wards in Wythenshawe have relatively high levels of social housing compared to South Manchester, with all wards above the Manchester average of 33%. In contrast to this, South Manchester has high levels of private renting (generally over 15%) and Wythenshawe has extremely low levels: approximately 5%. There are slightly higher rates of home ownership in South Manchester than in Wythenshawe.
People living in wards in Wythenshawe record a higher rate of complaints for disrepair and/or dampness than South Manchester wards. In Brooklands and Northenden complaint rates reach 80% of residents; most other wards are above the city average of 57%.

Vacant properties are classed as those that have been vacant for more than two years. Rates show that all wards in both South Manchester and Wythenshawe have below-average (1.6%) proportions of vacant housing. Rates for vacant properties in South Manchester wards are slightly higher than for Wythenshawe wards.

Figure 13: % of private dwellings below ‘Decent Homes’ standard, 2007

Source: PSH House Condition Survey

1 The Bedroom Standard: A standard number of bedrooms is allocated to each household in accordance with its age/sex/marital status composition and the relationship of the members to one another. A separate bedroom is allocated to each married or cohabiting couple, any other person aged 21 or over, each pair of adolescents aged 10–20 of the same sex, and each pair of children under 10. Any unpaired person aged 10–20 is paired, if possible, with a child under 10 of the same sex, or, if that is not possible, he or she is given a separate bedroom, as is any unpaired child under 10.
Homelessness

Significant health inequalities exist in health service provision for homeless adults. This population group contains some of the most vulnerable adults in society who often have difficulties in accessing services that are universally available for others. Many homeless adults are socially excluded, which leads to isolation. In turn, this can lead to poor nutrition and housing, and ultimately poor health. Homelessness is associated with an increased risk of mental illness, alcohol and drug problems as well as certain infectious diseases, such as tuberculosis. Having a mental illness or addiction greatly increases the risk of homelessness.

The table shows that, over the past three years, the number of households presenting to the Manchester City Council homelessness service has fallen. In 2009/10, some 23% of households presenting to the homelessness service made a formal homeless application, of which nearly 38% were accepted as being owed a full homeless duty.

Table 3: Number of households presenting as homeless, 2007/08–2009/10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2008/09</th>
<th>2009/10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households presenting to the Manchester City Council homelessness service</td>
<td>6,056</td>
<td>5,597</td>
<td>5,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households that made a homeless application</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>1,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households accepted as being owed a full homeless duty</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data in the above table relates to Manchester as a whole rather than just the South Manchester locality.

Research has shown that around 8% of all households accepted as homeless are considered to be in priority need on grounds of mental illness. It is estimated that 30–50% of rough sleepers have mental health needs, around 70% misuse drugs and half are dependent on alcohol.

Being the victim of domestic violence is another major cause of homelessness. For these, finding suitable accommodation can have a significant positive impact on their health.
Part C: A good start in life

**Headlines**

- In comparison to the city-wide average, Wythenshawe wards have high live birth rates, while South Manchester wards have lower-than-average rates.

- There are above-average proportions of births registered to lone mothers in Wythenshawe wards.

- Teenage pregnancy in South Manchester is generally lower than the city-wide average, with only one hot spot: Old Moat. On the whole, women under 18 years living in Wythenshawe wards are more likely to conceive than women in South Manchester wards.

- Attendance rates at secondary school are close to the city-wide average in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. However, there are higher-than-average levels of persistent absence in Wythenshawe.

- Wythenshawe has a higher-than-average proportion of 16 to 18-year-olds not in education, employment or training.

This section considers the impact of changes in the number and type of maternities occurring in young women in South Manchester and Wythenshawe, together with the health of babies and young children. It looks at factors such as low birthweight births, teenage pregnancy and births to lone mothers to help give a broad picture of the varying challenges facing parents across South Manchester and Wythenshawe. This section also gives a brief insight to educational performance and attendance for young people in South Manchester and Wythenshawe.
**Early years**

The chart below shows that wards in South Manchester have lower birth rates than Wythenshawe wards. Only Northenden is below the city average in Wythenshawe wards, but in South Manchester all wards are below the city-wide average (16.4 births per 100,000 persons).

The proportion of low birth weight babies (ie. babies born weighing less than 2,500g) in South Manchester and a Wythenshawe ward is below the city-wide average of 9.7%. Chorlton Park and Didsbury East have the lowest rates of low birth weight babies: 6.5% and 6.1% respectively. At the other end of the scale, Baguley (10%), and Didsbury West (10.5%) have the highest. The chart below demonstrates that death rates for infants under the age of one year in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are relatively low when looking at the city as a whole. Old Moat and Withington have much higher IMRs than the rest of the wards, with 8.1 and 12.6 deaths per 1,000 live births respectively.

Wythenshawe has high rates of births registered by lone mothers, with Woodhouse Park the highest at 36.2% of all live births. South Manchester has lower rates: only Old Moat (28.5%) is above the city-wide average of 24.5%.

*Figure 14: Live birth rate, 2007*

Source: Manchester Joint Health Unit/ONS 2007
Figure 15: Infant mortality rate, 2005–07

Source: Manchester Joint Health Unit/Public Health Mortality File

Figure 16: Births registered by lone mothers 2006–08 (%)

Source: Manchester Joint Health Unit/ONS 2006–08
Teenage conception rates

Teenage parenthood is an important issue in Manchester. Having children at an early age can damage young women’s health and wellbeing and can limit their education, career and economic prospects. Although young people can be competent parents, children born of teenage parents are much more likely to experience a range of negative outcomes in childhood and later life than children born of older parents. Reducing the number of teenagers who become parents is central to wider ambitions to reduce social exclusion, health inequalities and child poverty.

Wythenshawe has significantly higher rates of teenage pregnancy than South Manchester and most of its wards are above the city-wide average of 68.6 per 1,000 women; Benchill (91.4) and Baguley (109.8) are the highest. Old Moat has the highest rates in South Manchester with 72.2; all other wards are below the city-wide average. Old ward boundaries have been used for this graph due to the nature of data collection for this indicator.

Figure 17: Under-18 conception rate 2005–07

Source: Teenage Pregnancy Unit/ONS 2005–07
Educational attainment

Educational attainment can be linked to health on several levels. Not only does it have the benefits of improved employment opportunities and social mobility, but a higher educational attainment can be linked to healthier lifestyle choices and attitudes.

Key Stage 2 tests in English, maths and science are taken by schoolchildren when they reach the age of 11. Most wards in South Manchester achieve the city average when it comes to Key Stage 2 exams in maths, English and science (Chorlton Park has slightly lower pass rates for English). However, wards in Wythenshawe show below-average achievement for all Key Stage 2 qualifications; Brooklands is consistently lower than the other wards.

There are four wards in South Manchester that achieve above Manchester’s average (36.9%) for 5+ GCSEs including maths and English and two that don’t: Old Moat (34.1%) and Chorlton Park (34.6%). In Wythenshawe, all wards fail to meet the Manchester average, with Brooklands performing the least well.

Figure 18: 5+ GCSE A*-C including English and maths (%), 2009

Source: Manchester Children’s Services Department 2009
The national proportion of the population with no qualifications (GCSEs A*-G) is 1.4%, while the average in Manchester is 4.5%. Wythenshawe wards score poorly in this indicator, all wards are above the city-wide average with Northenden and Woodhouse Park both more than 7% of people leaving school with no qualifications. Children living in wards in South Manchester are less likely to leave school without any GCSE qualifications, with only Old Moat and Withington above the city-wide average for this indicator.

Figure 19: No qualifications A*-G (%), 2009

Source: Manchester Children’s Services Department 2009
School attendance and absence

Primary school attendance in South Manchester and Wythenshawe is fairly good and seven wards are close to the city-wide average. East Didsbury and West Didsbury greatly exceed both the city-wide and national average attendance. Old Moat and Baguley have the two lowest attendance rates in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. Levels of persistent absence (defined as being absent for 20% or more of school time) in primary schools are highest in Wythenshawe, where Baguley, Sharston and Woodhouse Park all report above 4% persistent absence rates. In South Manchester only Old Moat has high rates of persistent absence (3.7%).

Secondary attendance in South Manchester is very good and all wards report above average (89.8%) for Manchester. In contrast to this, Wythenshawe has much lower rates of secondary attendance, with only Baguley above the city average. When looking at absence rates of secondary schools, the complete opposite can be seen: Wythenshawe has low attendance and high absence levels; South Manchester has high attendance and low absence rates.

The chart on the following page shows that South Manchester has lower rates of 16 to 18-year-olds not in education, employment and training (NEET) than the Manchester average of 10.2%. Old Moat records the highest rate with 9.1%. In contrast to this, Wythenshawe has higher rates than the city-wide average, while Sharston, Woodhouse Park and Baguley have the highest proportions of 16 to 18-year-olds NEET.
Figure 21: Secondary school persistent absence (%), 2009

Figure 22: % of 16 to 18-year-olds not in education, employment or training (NEET), 2009
Part D: Prevention
(lifestyles, risk-taking behaviours and infectious diseases)

Headlines

• Residents in Wythenshawe wards generally report lower-than-city-wide average alcohol consumption. In contrast to this, residents in South Manchester report above-average levels of alcohol consumption.

• According to the Residents Survey, diet in Manchester is poor, with only 21% of adults eating the recommended five fruit or vegetables per day. Wythenshawe wards tend to be below average and South Manchester wards tend to be above.

• There are lower-than-average proportions of people partaking in regular exercise in both South Manchester and Wythenshawe.

• Immunisation rates for BCG, MMR and Men C and Hib booster in South Manchester and Wythenshawe wards are close to the city-wide averages. However, there are several wards that fall short of the average when it comes to uptake of the third Primary dose.

This section looks at local patterns of lifestyle and behavioural risk factors in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. It focuses on the most common lifestyle risk factors in adults and children, including smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and diet. The importance of understanding these behaviours from a commissioning perspective is outlined in greater detail in the Manchester JSNA. Most of the data used in this section are sourced from the Manchester Residents Survey. Users should be cautious when drawing conclusions based on this data due to local variations in response rates and other similar caveats associated with lifestyle surveys (eg. the presence of response and other biases).
Smoking

Smoking is known to be the principal avoidable cause of premature deaths in the UK and is a major contributor to ill health, including coronary heart disease and cancer. It accounts for one in four UK cancer deaths, including nine in ten cases of lung cancer, as well as increasing the risk of over a dozen other cancers, including cancers of the mouth, larynx (voice box), oesophagus (food pipe), liver, pancreas, stomach, kidney, bladder and cervix, as well as some types of leukaemia.

The Manchester Residents Survey appears to show that smoking prevalence in South Manchester is low in comparison to the rest of the city; Old Moat (29%) and Withington (30%) have the highest rates. Most of the wards in Wythenshawe are at or above the city-wide average of 26%. Baguley has a particularly high prevalence of 44%. Most wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe have good smoking quit rates achieving close to or above the city average of 43.9%.

Figure 23: Current smokers (%), 2007

Source: Manchester Residents Survey 2007
Alcohol consumption

Alcohol has a major impact upon an individual’s physical and mental health and costs the Health Service £1.7 billion each year; the actual cost is estimated to be higher than this when taking into account the expense to other services such as social care. The impact of alcohol misuse will affect health services in a variety of settings, ranging from primary care, A&E, hospitals, mental health, social care and sexual health services. Problem drinkers are also twice as likely to visit their GP as the average patient.

The proportion of respondents in Manchester reporting that they drink alcohol at least once a week is 44%. South Manchester has high proportions of drinkers, with most wards exceeding 50%. Burnage is the lowest (35%). In comparison, Wythenshawe has a lower percentage of drinkers; Brooklands (53%) and Sharston (45%) are the highest. Although drinking in Wythenshawe may be lower overall compared to the rest of the city, there is a link between chronic drinking and deprivation, as evidenced by the pattern of alcohol-related hospital admissions.

Figure 24: Current drinkers (%), 2007

Source: Manchester Residents Survey 2007
Diet

A balanced and nutritious diet is vital for both physical and mental wellbeing, and protects against the onset of many diseases throughout life. Conversely, an unbalanced and nutrient-poor diet is associated with many serious illnesses, and is likely to be responsible for an increasing proportion of ill health. On the whole, South Manchester wards are above the Manchester average (21%) for eating five fruit or vegetables a day. In most wards at least a quarter of the population have five fruit or vegetables per day. Burnage is the only exception in South Manchester with only 16%. Wythenshawe has lower proportions who eat the recommended five fruit or vegetables per day, with Baguley (19%), Northenden (16%) and Woodhouse Park (13%) all below the city-wide average.

Figure 25: Diet of at least five fruit or vegetables per day, 2007

Source: Manchester Residents Survey 2007
Exercise

Physical activity is crucial for both physical and mental health. A number of studies have suggested that the effect of exercise on anxiety and depression is at least as strong as traditional treatments such as psychology or relaxation training. Exercise is measured by the amount of people exercising five or more times per week. Brooklands and Sharston exercise the least, with less than a third of the population exercising five or more times a week. South Manchester wards have slightly higher rates of exercise than Wythenshawe wards.

Prevention of infectious diseases

A major outbreak of infectious diseases, such as measles or influenza, can place a great strain on health and social care services. Infectious diseases are also an important cause of health inequalities because the impacts of these diseases often fall most heavily on the most vulnerable groups in the population, such as young children, older people, the homeless and the chronically ill.

Childhood immunisation figures for neonatal BCG, MMR, and third and Primary dose at two years in wards across South Manchester and Wythenshawe are generally close to the city-wide average. Notable exceptions are as follows: Brooklands has consistently at least 10% lower immunisation rates than all other wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe; Withington has slightly lower proportions of third dose immunisations; and Northenden has lower uptake of MMR immunisation. For the Men C and Hib booster several wards fall short of the Manchester average (81.3%): Chorlton Park (80%), West Didsbury (76.6%), Old Moat (73.8%), Withington (75.3%), Brooklands (69%) and Northenden (77.6%).

\[^{2}\text{From the age of two months, children are routinely scheduled for a series of immunisations for three Primary immunisations against the following diseases: diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (whooping cough), polio, haemophilus influenza B (Hib), Meningitis C (Men C) and Pneumococcal. A single dose of MMR (Measles Mumps and Rubella) is offered from 13 months of age.}\]
Figure 26: Uptake of third primary dose of childhood immunisation, January 2009

Source: NHS Manchester Child Health Department

Figure 27: Men C and Hib booster, January 2009

Source: NHS Manchester Child Health Department
Headlines

- Residents in Wythenshawe wards are more likely to report having a long-term illness or disability than South Manchester residents. All wards in Wythenshawe report above-average levels; in South Manchester only Burnage is higher than average.

- South Manchester and Wythenshawe in general have high rates of mortality due to cancer in comparison to the rest of the city, with the exception of Didsbury East and Didsbury West.

- Wards in Wythenshawe have higher rates of mortality due to circulatory diseases than South Manchester wards.

This section considers the impact of changes in long-term conditions, chronic disease and disability on health and social care services in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. Long-term conditions refer to those conditions that cannot currently be cured but can be controlled by medication and other therapies.

- Living with a long-term condition can severely limit people’s ability to cope with day-to-day activities, particularly for older people, those living in disadvantaged circumstances or for whom English is not their first language.

- Ill health among the working population has a significant effect on the local economy, in terms of days of work lost and reduced household income.

- Long-term conditions, such as coronary heart disease, stroke and cancer, are among the leading causes of premature mortality in the city and make a major contribution to the life expectancy gap between Manchester and England as a whole.

- People with long-term conditions are the most intensive users of the most expensive services, not only in terms of primary and specific acute services but also social care and community services, urgent and emergency care.

On average, 32% of adults living in wards within Manchester report that they suffer from a long-term illness or disability. In South Manchester only Burnage (34%) is above this. In Wythenshawe, all wards are higher than average: Baguley (41%) and Sharston (42%) record the highest levels.
In South Manchester and Wythenshawe there is significant variation between wards for all age all cause mortality (AAACM). Chorlton Park, Old Moat, Northenden, Baguley and Sharston have relatively high rates when compared to Manchester as a whole.

Source: Manchester Residents Survey 2007
The mortality rate for all cancers for people under 75 years in South Manchester is on the whole above the average rate for Manchester (153.5 per 100,000). Didsbury East and Didsbury West are the only two wards below the average.

The data for cancer mortality rates in Wythenshawe shows that three of the five wards exceed the city average: Northenden (177.7 per 100,000), Sharston (168.9 per 100,000) and Baguley (154.9 per 100,000).

The average mortality rate for people under 75 years in Manchester due to circulatory disease is 120.2 per 100,000. The three highest mortality rates for circulatory diseases in South Manchester and Wythenshawe can be found in Chorlton Park (148.8 per 100,000), Baguley (152.2) and Northenden (122.4).
Figure 30: Mortality rate from all cancers (0–74 years), 2006–08

Source: Manchester Joint Health Unit/ONS 2006–08

Figure 31: Mortality rate from circulatory diseases 0–74, 2006–08

Source: Manchester Joint Health Unit/ONS 2006–08
Part F: Access to services

Headlines
- Older people form the largest proportion of people accessing social care services.
- Burnage, Northenden and Woodhouse Park have the highest proportions of learning-disability service users.
- Wythenshawe has higher proportions of mental health users than South Manchester.
- Emergency hospital admission rates are above average in Wythenshawe; in South Manchester only Burnage is above average.
- Alcohol-specific hospital admission rates are significantly higher in Wythenshawe than South Manchester, with particularly high rates in Baguley and Woodhouse Park.
- The highest proportions of over-64-year-olds referred to mental health services can be found in Chorlton Park, Didsbury West and Sharston.

Directorate for Adults

The graph below shows the breakdown of Directorate for Adults customers by ward. Services for older people make up the largest percentage of individuals using Directorate for Adults services in every ward in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. Substance misuse and physical disability services tend to have the smallest proportion of customers in each ward.
Figure 32: Use of Directorate for Adults by service type, 2009

Source: Manchester City Council Directorate for Adults
The table below summarises some of the characteristics of Directorate for Adults customers living within the wards of South Manchester and Wythenshawe.

Table 4: Patterns of use of services provided by the Directorate for Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability (LD)</td>
<td>Burnage (15%), Northenden (17.6%) and Woodhouse Park (19.8%) have the highest proportions of Directorate for Adults customers accessing Learning Disability services in Wythenshawe and South Manchester. In general there are more men than women accessing Learning Disability services, but this is not the case in Brooklands, Didsbury East and Didsbury West. A potential gender inequality can be seen in Chorlton Park and Old Moat, where 70% or more of all Learning Disabled customers are male. Housing provision for people with learning disabilities is highest in Northenden and Woodhouse Park. Chorlton Park (3%) and Old Moat (8%) have the lowest housing provision for Learning Disabled customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>Only Old Moat (20.5%), Withington (19.7%) and Baguley (21.1%) are above the city-wide average for the proportion of Directorate for Adults customers accessing mental health services. Wythenshawe has higher proportions of customers accessing mental health services than South Manchester.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older persons</td>
<td>Older people’s services have the highest proportion of Directorate for Adults customers for any type of service across all wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. Didsbury East (68.5%) and Didsbury West (64.1%) have the highest proportion of customers accessing older people’s services in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. In general Wythenshawe wards have a higher proportion of Directorate for Adults customers accessing older people’s services than South Manchester wards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical disability</td>
<td>South Manchester wards have higher proportions of Directorate for Adults customers accessing physical disability services than Wythenshawe wards, with four having over 12%. In Wythenshawe all wards are below the city-wide average of 10.5%. Sharston is the lowest with 4.3%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance misuse</td>
<td>The average for a Manchester ward is 5.1% of Directorate for Adults customers accessing substance-misuse services. Most wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are below this. Didsbury West (5.1%) and Brooklands (5.8%) have the highest proportion of Directorate for Adults customers accessing these services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nursing and care homes

The number of care home places available affects the number of customers living in different areas of the city. South Manchester and Wythenshawe have a small percentage of Manchester’s care home places; Northenden has the highest with 7.5% and Didsbury West is next with 3.8%. Burnage, Chorlton Park, Old Moat, Baguley and Sharston have none.

In terms of nursing home places, Sharston (8.7%) and Woodhouse Park (4.6%) have the highest proportions in Wythenshawe. Didsbury West (8.1%) and Old Moat (5%) have the highest proportions in South Manchester. Burnage, Didsbury East, Withington and Brooklands have no nursing home places.

Individual Budgets

Individual Budgets enable customers to select the services they want as part of their care/recovery plan. They are already used by MMHSCT and Directorate for Adults and are seen as the best way to personalise services to meet the needs of the customers. Maximising the number of customers receiving Individual Budgets is a key LAA indicator. Allowing people to personalise the services they receive provides them with opportunities to choose to non-traditional services, such as cultural and leisure activities, libraries and art etc. These help people to shape their care in a way that cannot be achieved with a top-down approach.

The graph below shows the distribution of Manchester’s Individual Budgets to wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. Baguley has the highest proportion with 5.1% of Manchester’s total allocated Individual Budgets; Wythenshawe wards generally have higher proportions than wards in South Manchester.

![Figure 33: Percentage of city-wide Individual Budgets allocated (%), 2009](image)

Source: Manchester City Council Directorate for Adults
**Distance to health services**

It is important to take distance to services into account when considering whether distribution of health and social care services, such as GP practices, pharmacies, social care and hospital services, is fair and equitable. The population living in wards in South Manchester and Wythenshawe generally have a less-than-average (0.32 miles) distance to travel to the nearest GP. Brooklands (0.4 miles) and Didsbury West (0.5 miles) are slightly above the city-wide average distance. People in Woodhouse Park have much further to travel than any other ward, with residents required to travel (on average) almost a mile to their nearest GP.

All wards in South Manchester have a less than city-wide average distance (1.1 miles) to travel to their nearest GP. Wythenshawe residents have (on average) a relatively high distance to travel to the nearest hospital when compared to residents in South Manchester wards. People living in Brooklands and Woodhouse Park are required (on average) to travel more than a mile further than the average ward in Manchester to get to hospital.

**Hospital admissions**

Hospital admission rates can give an insight to service use in relation to different types of admissions. All rates are standardised to take into account the age structure of the population in different areas. The data is split into several types of admissions: elective (planned), emergency (not planned), maternity, and alcohol-specific. For both elective and emergency hospital admissions Wythenshawe wards have higher admission rates than Manchester as a whole; the highest is Baguley with 144.6 per 100,000. However, admission rates to hospitals for wards in South Manchester are generally below the Manchester average for elective and emergency admissions; Burnage is the only ward to exceed it with 113.9 per 100,000. Data for maternity admission rates shows that Burnage is the only ward in South Manchester or Wythenshawe to have above-average admission rates.

*Figure 34: Distance to nearest NHS hospital (miles), 2008*
Figure 35: Standardised hospital admission rate (elective), 2008/09

Source: Admitted Patient Care SUS CDS 2008/09

Figure 36: Standardised hospital admission rate (emergency), 2008/09

Source: Admitted Patient Care SUS CDS 2008/09
Alcohol-specific admissions

Nationally, alcohol-related illness or injury accounts for nearly a million hospital admissions per year. This indicator is presented in this document as a percentage of overall alcohol-specific hospital admissions in Manchester.

The alcohol-specific (alcohol-related harm) hospital admission rates in South Manchester and Wythenshawe show a striking contrast. In Wythenshawe, alcohol-specific admission rates are far higher, ranging from Brooklands (3% of all Manchester alcohol-specific hospital admissions) to Baguley (6.4% of all alcohol-specific admissions). In contrast, South Manchester’s highest admission rate is in Burnage (2.9% of all Manchester’s alcohol-specific hospital admissions).

Figure 37: Distribution of alcohol-specific hospital admissions (%), 2008/09

Source: Admitted Patient Care SUS CDS 2008/09
Mental health

Referrals:

Referrals to Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust

Measuring the referrals to secondary mental health services is one of the ways of demonstrating the level of demand for the services; however, this only provides an indicator of the needs of people with severe and enduring mental health needs. People with less severe needs are either referred to Primary Care Mental Health Services or one of a range of third-sector providers in the city; therefore these figures are not included in the data below. Baguley and Sharston have the highest referral rates for all persons to secondary mental health services, with 5.3% and 5.2% of the local population respectively. No other ward in South Manchester or Wythenshawe reaches the city average of 4.8%. People living in Wythenshawe are more likely to be referred to secondary mental health services than people living in South Manchester wards.

When looking at referrals of persons from certain age groups, for the over-64 age group the highest referral rates are in Chorlton Park (7.9% of the local population), Didsbury West (7.9% of the local population) and Sharston (8.7% of the local population). For the working-age population, nearly all wards in South Manchester are below the city-wide average (4.1% of the local population); only Chorlton Park is above (4.2% of the local population). In Wythenshawe, all wards are above the 4.1% average, with the exception of Brooklands (3.5% of the local population).

Figure 38: % of mental health referrals 2008/09

Source: Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust 2008/09
Patients seen
Manchester averages 3.8% (of its population) that is seen by Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust services; Baguley (4.4% of the local population) and Sharston (4.3% of the local population) are the only wards in South Manchester or Wythenshawe above this average. South Manchester wards all have lower proportions of patients seen by Manchester Mental Health Social Care Trust than Wythenshawe.

Figure 39: % of mental health patients seen, 2008/09

Source: Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust 2008/09
Chapter 4: Local priorities

This chapter summarises the outputs of the prioritisation work that has been undertaken by the Locality JSNA Working Group in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. It attempts to identify those themes that commonly underpin the work of the main agencies working in the locality, i.e. the Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services, NHS Manchester, Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust (MMHSCT) and the Regeneration Teams. Summarising the individual priorities of each agency in this manner is designed to highlight those issues that connect the partners and hence form the basis for joint working.

An example of where priorities coincide can be seen in the ‘Think Family’ approach, which all agencies incorporate into their working agendas. This approach is the method by which services expect their staff to adopt a whole-family holistic approach to all their customers. The ‘Think Family’ approach is linked to the Every Child Matters: Change for Children document produced by the Government in 2004. This document sets out the framework for local change programmes to build services around the needs of children and young people. This strategy is relevant to a number of themes identified in the priorities of the agencies consulted for this document (more information in Chapter 5).

The prioritisation work has highlighted five priority themes in South Manchester and Wythenshawe. These are:

- Personalisation
- Improving access to high-quality, appropriate services
- Employment and worklessness
- Prevention
- Children, young people and families.

The work also identified a number of local issues specific to the population of South Manchester and Wythenshawe. Each theme is linked with a set of key indicators drawn from the Locality JSNA Core Dataset and described in Chapter 3. These indicators are listed in a table in an Appendix to this document.
The personalisation of services is a common priority among agencies working in South Manchester. The aim is to ensure that the delivery of services is built around the individual needs and circumstances of patients, customers and their families. Doing this will help to improve outcomes, allow people to function on a more independent basis and, in doing so, build resilience and raise aspirations among Manchester’s most vulnerable people and families.

A key element of this is recognised as being a stable and secure home environment that is suitable for the specific needs of each individual. In this regard, it is important that all health and social care professionals understand the importance of obtaining and maintaining suitable residence and that the customer needs to be happy living there.

Key priorities for agencies working in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are:

- Increasing specialised housing and support for the most vulnerable groups in society, including older people with conditions such as dementia, autism and physical disabilities, as well as individuals with ‘chaotic lives’, eg. as a result of alcohol or drug misuse. Specialised housing will offer a base from which individuals may be taught new practical and social life skills that will in turn facilitate a move into their own homes in the community. Helping individuals to remain in their own home will also reduce demand on public services, increase independence and autonomy and promote a greater sense of wellbeing.

- Reablement – providing short-term support, at home or in the local community, to help individuals get back on their feet after a period of illness, a spell in hospital or the onset of a disability. Helping people to regain their daily living skills, confidence and independence within their own home hastens recovery and helps avoid readmission or relapse. This approach is consistent with the ‘Recovery Model’ being supported by NHS Manchester.

- Providing long-term support where required, ranging from specialist health care to more basic support, such as help with daily routines or encouraging physical exercise. There is also a need to focus on individuals with mild to moderate mental health needs to maintain a high level of wellbeing.

- Ensuring that residents and customers have the practical and social skills to secure employment and realise their full potential. This is an important factor in maintaining physical and mental wellbeing and increasing independence and self-worth.

Individual Budgets enable customers to select the services they want as part of their care/recovery plan. They are already used by Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust and Directorate for Adults and are seen as the best way to personalise services to meet the needs of the customers. Individual budgets are a key LAA indicator and are important to the personalisation of services as more people choose to use non-traditional services. These services include cultural activities such as leisure activities, libraries, art, etc that help people to shape their care in a way that cannot be achieved with a top-down approach.

Assistive Technology is another important part of the personalisation of services for individual needs, eg. fall sensors, bed sensors, movement sensors, etc.

Personalisation is also a key element of the ‘think family’ approach adopted by Children’s Services, Directorate for Adults and Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust.
Table 5: Personalisation priorities in South Manchester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Pages of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Directorate for Adults** | • Promoting independence  
• Providing specialist housing for young adults with autism and people with dementia and alcohol/drug problems  
• Increasing customer choice and control over services through the further expansion of Individual Budgets  
• Improving outcomes for customers with mental health problems  
• Increasing provision of short-term and specialist support at home  
• Supporting customers of social care services to develop the practical and social skills needed to find employment | 27, 29 and 50  
51  
55  
22 and 34 |
| **Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust (MMHSCT)** | • Promoting physical fitness among users of mental health services  
• Building resilience and raising aspirations  
• Ensuring that users of mental health services have access to good-quality accommodation and housing support  
• Helping users of mental health services to find secure employment  
• Staff training to reinforce importance of housing on patient outcomes | 55  
31  
27 and 55  
22 and 55  
27 |
| **Regeneration teams** | • Focus on people with mild to moderate mental health problems  
• New models of working involving community | 55 |
| **NHS Manchester (South PBC Hub and Manchester PCT)** | • Access to mental health services  
• Housing support  
• Reablement through short-term support at home  
• Specialist care at home  
• Find work and raise aspirations  
• Housing support for vulnerable adults, especially those at risk of becoming homeless  
• Use a multi-agency approach to tackle homelessness, including mental health needs, housing advice, data sharing and early intervention | 55  
27  
22 and 34  
27–30  
27–30 and 55 |
Improving access to high-quality, appropriate services

Ensuring that all residents have fair and equitable access to health and social care services that are appropriate to their needs is a key priority for all agencies working in South Manchester. Addressing inequities in access to services, particularly among the most vulnerable groups in the population, is a crucial element of work to reduce health inequalities and improve outcomes among people with long-term conditions and other needs. Ensuring that new and existing services are targeting their work in the most appropriate manner can help commissioners to manage the levels of demand on services and improve the cost-effectiveness and efficiency of service provision.

Key priorities for agencies working in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are:

- Increasing access to services for vulnerable and hard-to-reach elements of the population (such as BME groups, older people and people with disabilities) by removing some of the barriers that may prevent them from accessing services, including language, transport, location, opening hours and information.

- Expanding the role of schools and community services in helping to break down cultural barriers.

- Improving access to high-quality primary care by increasing the number of GP practices in the locality, extending opening hours and ensuring that residents have access to appropriate evidence-based prevention, treatment and care.

- Improving access to lifestyle opportunities, particularly for children and young people, by maximising health education in schools and making better use of green spaces to promote exercise, thus reducing the risk of heart disease and cancers and improving mental wellbeing. A need has been identified to increase the range of activities available for young people: Scouts, Girl Guides and The Woodcraft Folk encourage activities such as camping, craftwork and social interaction. It is important that there is an alternative to sports groups; these youth groups can also provide informal settings for peer education, for example contraception and healthy relationships.

- Increasing uptake of intervention services, such as smoking cessation and weight management, in areas that experience higher prevalence of smoking and obesity.

- Improving access to family support services to strengthen the ability of families to take care of children with specific or complex needs by providing them with support at the earliest opportunity and allowing carers rest when it’s needed.

- Improving access to more personalised services that give customers and their families the opportunities to make decisions on how their Individual Budget is spent, including spending on non-traditional social care services.

- Co-locating a range of new and existing community facilities, eg. information points in libraries, in order to better promote existing services and increase engagement of individuals who might not otherwise be aware of them.

- Improving opportunities for residents and customers to feed back their views and experiences in order to measure whether local services are delivering high-quality outcomes.
Table 6: Priorities for improving access to high-quality, appropriate services in South Manchester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Pages of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Directorate for Adults                                                 | • Further expand the reach of individual budgets to allow greater flexibility and choice over services  
• Improve access to and uptake of non-traditional social care services  
• Promote Assistive Technology to encourage independence and safety  
• Improve the quality of services and outcomes for people with dementia | 51, 50            |
| Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust (MMHSCT)               | • Improve speed and ease of access to mental health services  
• Increase availability of suitable accommodation at time of discharge to preserve individual autonomy and promote recovery and self-reliance  
• Improve collection of data on patient experience as a means of improving quality | 55, 27            |
| Regeneration teams                                                     | • Increase equity of access to services for people living in more deprived areas  
• Overcome barriers to access, language, transport  
• Improve outreach of schools and other services into community  
• Promote a joined-up approach to delivery of prevention services and opportunities  
• Need to capture local issues to inform commissioning | 19                |
| Children's Services                                                    | • Improve play opportunities for children by building on current resources, and diversify range of activities on offer, ie. non-sports-related groups |                   |
| NHS Manchester (South PBC hub and Manchester PCT)                    | • Increase delivery and uptake of evidence-based interventions in primary care, including statin prescribing, respiratory aids/inhalers and lower cost generic drugs where appropriate  
• Improve management of long-term conditions in primary care in order to better manage demand for urgent care  
• Increase access to palliative care, including support for those who wish to die at home  
• Reduce length of stay and facilitate early discharge from hospital  
• Ensure availability and access to community services, particularly around intermediate care  
• Increase capacity and quality of primary care by extending GP and dental practice opening hours and encouraging more providers to set up, particularly in areas with high levels of deprivation and health needs  
• Implement the Manchester Standard as a tool for monitoring the performance of GP practices in improving access to and delivery of high-quality primary care  
• Increase access to Clinical Assessment Treatment and Support Services – CATS  
• Increase role of primary and community care in reducing demand for hospital care by developing referral gateways in primary care and single points of access for urgent care and increasing the capacity of community health services  
• Improve equality of distribution of housing advice for users of homelessness services  
• Improve response to prevention and management of hip fractures | 44, 27–30, 44, 27 and 53, 53, 53                                                     |
Employment and worklessness

There are strong links between unemployment and poorer health outcomes. Being out of work can have a negative effect on people’s living conditions and, through that, on their lifestyle choices, access to services and mental wellbeing. Increasing employment opportunities for those of working age (particularly those with pre-existing health conditions) and raising aspirations among schoolchildren from more deprived backgrounds in order to narrow the gap in educational attainment are key elements of work to improve health and tackle health inequalities.

Key priorities for agencies working in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are:

- Adopting a multi-agency approach to working closely with members of the community and businesses in areas of high deprivation in order to improve employment opportunities for local people.

- Helping those whose health needs may present barriers to obtaining employment, including the greater use of employment support officers to help customers of mental health services find work through offering Individual Placement and Support (IPS) tailored to individual needs.

- Increasing educational attainment and reducing levels of persistent absence from schools among children and young people in order to improve their aspirations and increase their chance of employment in later life. There is a need to increase capacity of schemes such as ‘Places to go, Things to do’ currently underway in Wythenshawe. This scheme aims to offer activities to young people engaged in risky behaviour (ie. persistent absence).
### Table 7: Employment and worklessness priorities in South Manchester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Pages of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Directorate for Adults** | • Build on the success of the Residents Wages Project in engaging with employers to increase participation in education, training and employment  
• Further enhance a family-based approach to improving employment by targeting the community through home visits  
• Increase awareness of the need to wrap support around the family | 22 and 34–38  
22 |
| **Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust (MMHSCT)** | • Increase capacity of service to deliver Individual Placement and Support (IPS) to users of mental health services  
• Map out all mental health and employment services in Manchester across all agencies to increase understanding among customers of the services available for them | 22 and 55  
55 |
| **Regeneration teams** | • Offer support to those with barriers to gaining employment, such as those claiming Incapacity Benefit | 19 and 21–25 |
| **Children’s Services** | • Narrow attainment gap in schools  
• Reduce persistent absence through use of the Common Assessment Framework (CAF)  
• Broaden the range of activities available for children and younger people (eg. PLINGS – Places to go, Things to do)  
• Reduce the number of young people NEET by supporting them to gain skills and training required to prepare them for employment  
• Work through Sure Start centres to implement a ‘think family’ approach to supporting parents into employment | 34  
36  
35  
Core Dataset  
22 and 31 |
| **NHS Manchester (South PBC hub and Manchester PCT)** | • Oversee delivery of the Condition Management Programme on behalf of NHS Manchester, Salford and Trafford, to support Incapacity Benefit claimants to manage long term health conditions  
• Work in partnership with the Invest to Save Pathfinder to develop opportunities to deliver public health interventions to those who are engaged in the pilot in areas of highest deprivation | 23  
19 |
Prevention

Preventing the onset of ill health, and the consequent use of health and social care services, by promoting healthy lifestyle choices, detecting diseases at an earlier stage and providing support to assist recovery and prevent relapse is a shared aim of all the agencies working in South Manchester. For vulnerable groups, such as children and older people, or people with long-term conditions, such as dementia and complex mental health problems, health and social care services and their partners in the third sector play a vital role in supporting people at home and avoiding the need for acute services.

Key priorities for agencies working in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are:

- Delivering interventions to promote healthy lifestyles and healthy habits, especially among children and their families, in order to give people the best possible opportunity for positive health outcomes.
- Promoting healthy diets within the community and at school to help reduce obesity by improving access to community nutrition services and weight management programmes.
- Increasing the opportunities to engage in accessible and safe exercise through improving and maintaining public spaces, such as parks and cycle routes, and raising the quality of the environment.
- Providing short-term support, at home or in the local community, to help individuals get back on their feet after a period of illness or the onset of a disability in order to prevent further spells in hospital and aid recovery.
- Improving the early detection of mental health problems through anti-stigma campaigns and use of the Common Assessment Framework (CAF) to identify the additional needs of a child at the earliest opportunity, and offering appropriate support to reduce risk and prevent harm.
- Improve data recording and sharing across agencies to produce early ‘trigger points’ for individuals at risk of becoming homeless. This will lead to early intervention points and referral protocols.
- Increase provision of alcohol interventions and brief advice in A&E Departments and other settings, eg. GP practices, pharmacies, to prevent hospital admissions and provide greater support for harmful and hazardous drinkers and their families.
- Improving the management of cardiovascular disease, musculoskeletal disease and diabetes from an early stage. Where appropriate, alternative treatment should be investigated in a primary care setting, such as low-cost generic drugs, statins and physiotherapy in an effort to reduce referrals to secondary care.
### Table 8: Prevention priorities in South Manchester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Pages of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Directorate for Adults                                                 | • Ensure that mental health services are tailored to the needs of customers  
• Address needs of vulnerable groups, including young people, people who are new to services, and those with dementia and complex (short-term or ongoing) needs  
• Promote use of the Recovery Star model                                                                                                                     | S1 and S5         |
| Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust (MMHSCT)               | • Promote antistigma message relating to mental ill health through Time to Change, Get Fit, Get Well and other campaigns  
• Increase role of mental health services in promoting recovery and helping people to realise their potential  
• Support customers of mental health services to develop their own care plan and manage their individual budgets  
• Focus on patient recovery in everything we do                                                                                                                                 | S5                |
| Regeneration teams                                                    | • Support people in making lifestyle changes to reduce risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer and respiratory diseases; this includes smoking, alcohol consumption, diet and physical activity  
• Increase access to and uptake of stop smoking and weight management services                                                                                           | S0                |
| Children's Services                                                   | • Promote use of Common Assessment Framework to identify additional needs as early as possible allowing early intervention of services  
• Develop a multi-agency approach towards prevention  
• Reduce teenage conceptions by increasing contraceptive services and tackling cultural norms  
• Outreach family support services to those that may have not accessed them before                                                                                       | S1 and S3         |
| NHS Manchester (South PBC hub and Manchester PCT)                    | • Prevent untoward incidents by encouraging a ‘first do no harm’ approach to improving patient safety  
• Increase role of Community Infection Control Teams and specialist nurses to prevent spread of communicable diseases  
• Strengthen delivery of Alcohol Identification and Brief Advice in A&E departments and other settings  
• Prevent readmissions by increasing support for people leading chaotic lives  
• Improve early diagnosis and management of cardiovascular disease in primary and secondary care  
• Increase uptake of immunisations: neonatal BCG, MMR, third primary dose, Men C and Hib booster  
• Support mothers from pregnancy to birth encouraging healthy behaviours, ie. quit smoking, breastfeeding                                                                    | S0 and S5         |
Children, young people and families

Raising aspirations and improving opportunities and outcomes for children, young people and their families is a key priority for agencies in South Manchester. Achieving this objective is essential in improving health, reducing health and social inequalities, improving educational attainment and expanding training and job opportunities. Intervening at an early stage with those young people who are most at risk or exposed to ‘risky’ behaviours will be essential in tackling gang activity, youth crime and high levels of teenage pregnancy. Key to all this work is the need to support families in order to provide them with the capability to protect the health of children and young people.

Key priorities for agencies working in South Manchester and Wythenshawe are:

• Working in partnership with agencies such as Greater Manchester Police, Manchester Youth Offending Team (YOT) and probation services to prevent young people from becoming involved in gang activity, gun crime and violent crime, and encouraging them away from gang pursuits.

• Increasing access to information and sexual health services, such as free contraception, screening and relationship advice, to avoid unplanned teenage pregnancies and reduce subsequent conceptions in young women aged 18 and under.

• Working closely with families providing care at home for people with learning disabilities to ensure that services ‘wrap support’ around their individual needs, for example, by increasing the provision of short-break services.

• Supporting families to help reduce childhood obesity by targeting children at an early age through breastfeeding peer support, provision of physical activity programmes aimed at 7 to 13-year-olds, and increased access to nutritional services and weight management programmes in the community.

• Reducing the number of young people not in education, employment or training (NEET) by enabling services to reach young people at an early stage in formal settings, such as high schools and Sure Start centres, and by increasing the involvement of a range of agencies and businesses to reflect the diverse types of employment opportunities available in the local area.

• Improving links with other agencies that work with young people and families, such as Youth Offending Teams, Stepping Stones and Connexions.

• Increasing use of schools as settings for campaigns and services.

Sure Start centres are aimed at families with 0 to 5-year-olds. Customers are provided with a variety of services, such as health screenings, integrated early education, employment advice and access to specialist services where necessary. Sure Start centres provide a single point of contact for the customer where their specific needs can be identified and supported through a joined-up service approach. Among others, some of the key partners include parents, the private, voluntary and independent sectors, Primary Care Trusts and Jobcentre Plus. This is consistent with the ‘think family’ approach outlined by the Government’s ‘Every Child Matters: Change for Children’ paper.
### Table 9: Priorities for children, young people and families in South Manchester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Pages of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Directorate for Adults | • Take a family-based approach to improving employment through expanding the Residents Wages Project  
• Target the community through home visits  
• Support families providing care for adults with learning disabilities through delivery of short-break services to ensure they can stay at home | 19, 22 and 34, 49 and 50 |
| Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust (MMHSCT) | • A multi-agency approach to identifying young people with mental health needs as early as possible  
• Provide appropriate support for families with complex needs | 50 |
| Regeneration teams | • Address poor health of children in deprived areas in unison with family as a whole  
• Reduce teenage pregnancy  
• Increase uptake of smoking cessation services  
• Reduce excessive alcohol consumption  
• Increase physical activity | 19–21, 19 and 33, 50 and 54, 50 |
| Children’s Services | • Provide interventions aimed at young people engaged in ‘risky’ behaviour  
• Improve school attendance by the use of Common Assessment Framework (CAF)  
• Improve management of safeguarding  
• Reduce the number of children subject to a formal protection plan by aligning work of partners and sharing information  
• Enhance school-based delivery of services for children and families  
• Reduce the number of young people NEET by supporting them to gain skills and training required to prepare them for employment | 19 and 33–38, 36 |
| NHS Manchester (South PBC hub and Manchester PCT) | • Take a family and community-based approach to tackling childhood obesity  
• Provide breastfeeding peer support  
• Support for family-based programmes targeting families with children, such as MEND (Mind, Exercise, Nutrition, do it!)  
• Develop Community Nutrition Service  
• Increase provision of weight management services in primary care  
• Reduce teenage conception rates by improving access to education and other services for ‘at risk’ groups (hot spots)  
• Increase support for pregnant teenagers and mothers | 31, 31–34, 50, 19 and 33, 19 and 33 |
Future areas for joined-up commissioning

In the course of developing this Locality JSNA, a number of issues have been identified as being a particular priority for the area. These issues are all challenging areas of work in which additional value can be gained from all the partners in the locality working together in a closer, more integrated manner.

The priority areas for South Manchester are listed in the box below.

**Identified priorities for joint working**

Upon the presentation of the first draft of this document to the working group for South Manchester and Wythenshawe, the following priorities have been identified as areas with the greatest potential for joint working:

1. Supporting people into work, especially younger people recently leaving education. All agencies recognise the importance of residents obtaining and maintaining work and support must be given to those who struggle to achieve this.

2. Facilitate early discharge, reablement and recovery through increased use of assistive technology and information communications technologies to improve the delivery of care (ie. telemedicine and telehealth).

3. Increasing emphasis on prevention and early intervention, with a view to reducing need for primary care, secondary care and social care.

It is acknowledged that a Think Family approach should be used across care services. Communication between existing agencies needs to be strong to encourage sharing of data, tools and knowledge. Maximising communication will allow for an intelligence-based, targeted approach to modelling risk and demand pathways.
Chapter 5: Where to find out more

This Locality JSNA is part of a wider series of information reports, plans and strategies relating to the locality. These provide additional context and supporting material about the area and should be read alongside the Locality JSNA itself.

This chapter describes some of these additional resources and how they can be accessed.

Additional data resources

Locality JSNA Core Dataset

Chapter 3 of this Locality JSNA contains a profile of the area based on a selection of data drawn from a range of different sources. Users wishing to obtain a copy of these and other data items for their own further analysis can do so by downloading a copy of the Locality JSNA Core Dataset.

The Core Dataset is an Excel spreadsheet containing more than 100 separate indicators grouped within 15 topic areas. All the data has been drawn from existing national and local datasets and supplemented with data supplied by partner agencies where possible. The information in the dataset is consistent with that presented in the Manchester Partnership’s State of the Wards Report. The information is all presented at electoral ward level but has been cross-referenced with districts, PBC hubs and Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) areas. The spreadsheet also has a simple in-built charting functionality.

Ward Factsheets

The locality profile in Chapter 3 provides a high-level summary of the area as a whole and aims to highlight significant differences between the districts and wards within the locality. The chapter does not seek to look at individual wards in detail. Users looking for more information about individual wards can find this in the Ward Factsheets that have been produced alongside the JSNA.

Key indicators contained within the Ward Factsheets

- Resident population estimate by age and gender
- Population density
- Resident population projections
- Resident population estimate by ethnic group
- Deprivation indices
- Unemployment rates
- Benefit claimant rates
- Educational attainment (GCSE level)
- Live birth rate
- Under-18 conception rate
- Lifestyle data from Residents’ Survey
- Mortality rates by cause.

The Ward Factsheets summarise a number of key health indicators used within the Locality JSNA in a small, self-contained report. The latest data for each ward is compared with that for Manchester as a whole and the England average. A detailed glossary containing the definitions for each indicator is also included. The Locality JSNA Core Dataset Ward Factsheets can both be accessed at www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna

The Market Intelligence Team within the Directorate for Adults have also been collating a series of Ward Reports, which give a snapshot of services delivered in each of the wards in the city. In addition to this, a more detailed picture about Directorate for Adults services, performance information and customers can be found in a series of reports that are available in locality-specific and city-wide formats. These are available on request from Zoë Robertson, Head of Market Intelligence.
Local plans and strategies
Directorate for Adults

The Directorate for Adults encompasses a broader agenda that moves beyond the traditional social care, and it will deliver a more holistic, joined-up vision for adults in Manchester. The vision is ambitious and includes:

- Independent healthy adults who are socially and economically included in the community
- Adults who know and enjoy the benefits of employment
- Adults who are able to parent well and provide good role models for children in early years
- Recognition that mental wellbeing is as important as physical wellbeing
- Adults who choose a healthy lifestyle that includes regular exercise and a healthy diet
- People reaching their full potential and whose quality of life extends into their later years.

We are committed to the strategic priority to reduce reliance and dependency on public services, through a focus on early intervention, in particular, mental health outcomes, and we want to, wherever possible, align more of our services with health to achieve better services for Manchester residents and obtain increased value for money. We are redesigning our services in the Directorate to better focus on strategies such as Think Family, worklessness and resident wages as well as health and wellbeing initiatives.

To support this strategic vision, the Directorate for Adults Business Plan contains key priorities, actions and performance measures grouped under sections around Quality of Life, Choice and Control, Inclusion and Contribution, Health and Wellbeing, Dignity and Safety and Transforming Services.

The 2010/2011 Business Planning process has adopted a revitalised approach and the full Business Plan has been published alongside a public summary and evaluation questionnaire, an easy-read summary and a Directorate Road Map. These have been evaluated by staff, members of the public and the voluntary sector organisations at events organised by the Directorate for Adults, and feedback will be used to improve future iterations of the process.

The Business Plan, public summary and easy-read version are all available on www.manchester.gov.uk/adultsplan
Children and Young People's (CYPP) 2010–12

The Children Act 2004 mandates that every Children's Trust area should have a Children and Young People's Plan (CYPP). The CYPP is the single, strategic, overarching plan for all local services for children and young people, including health services, police, local authority and voluntary organisations. It sets out a vision for children and young people and identifies how outcomes for children and young people can be best improved. The Plan brings together in one place the top priorities for everyone working in Children's Services and sets out the actions required to improve outcomes and life chances for children in Manchester.

A key element of the CYPP is the requirement to carry out a comprehensive needs assessment, in partnership with all those involved in the planning process. National guidance on JSNAs (December 2007) makes it clear that the CYPP, with its focus on outcomes, partnership working and consultation, is fully consistent with that of JSNA. Strategic alignment of the CYPP and JSNA, using consistent and identical datasets, will encourage the planning of services that consider children in the wider context, as part of families, schools and communities (a 'think family' approach).

The full Children and Young People's Strategic Plan for Manchester can be found at www.manchester.gov.uk/info/500002/council_policies_and_strategies/1360/manchesters_children_and_young_peoples_strategic_plan

An Executive Summary of the plan is also available.

NHS Manchester Commissioning Strategic Plan (CSP) 2010–2014

The third edition of NHS Manchester's Commissioning Strategic Plan (CSP) was produced in January 2010. It describes the PCT's vision for improving health in Manchester and explains how the PCT will lead improvements in the local NHS between 2010 and 2014. The CSP contains an overview of the city's population and its health needs, which is based on information drawn primarily from the Manchester JSNA.

The PCT is also required to draw up an annual Operational Plan that sets out what it plans to achieve in the following 12 months and how it plans to achieve it. The content of the plan is focused upon delivering national Government policy and statutory targets, but also on those actions required to deliver services that meet the needs of the local population, as set out within the CSP.

A copy of the Operational Plan and Commissioning Strategic Plan can be found at www.manchester.nhs.uk/aboutus/commissioning/operational%20plan.html

Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust Integrated Business Plan

The Trust's Integrated Business Plan (IBP) is being developed as part of the application process for Foundation Trust status. It provides a high-level overview of the Trust, our strategy, the market the Trust operates within, performance (both historic and projected), and how the Trust will deliver on the proposed achievements in the future.

Within the life of this IBP, the Trust will provide services across primary and secondary care and create an integrated mental health and social care system. The Trust will develop its core delivery around community 'patches' to improve the capabilities of these communities and improve access, recovery outcomes and efficiency. The Trust believes that these aims are deliverable for the benefit of Manchester residents.
**Regeneration**

More information about the Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) areas in Manchester can be found at [www.manchester.gov.uk/info/200079/regeneration](http://www.manchester.gov.uk/info/200079/regeneration)

The table below contains links to each of the individual SRF areas.

**Table 10: Links to plans and strategies for South Manchester**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locality JSNA Core Dataset</td>
<td>Excel spreadsheet containing more than 100 indicators at ward level produced to support the Locality JSNA process</td>
<td><a href="http://www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna">www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward Factsheets</td>
<td>Summary of key health indicators for each ward in the city</td>
<td><a href="http://www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna">www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and Young People’s Plan</td>
<td>Overarching strategic plan for all local services for children and young people in Manchester</td>
<td><a href="http://www.manchester.gov.uk/info/500002/council_policies_and_strategies/1360/manchesters_children_and_young_peoples_strategic_plan">www.manchester.gov.uk/info/500002/council_policies_and_strategies/1360/manchesters_children_and_young_peoples_strategic_plan</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS Manchester Commissioning Strategic Plan for 2010–2014</td>
<td>NHS Manchester’s vision for improving health and raising the standard of local NHS services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.manchester.nhs.uk/aboutus/commissioning/operational%20plan.html">www.manchester.nhs.uk/aboutus/commissioning/operational%20plan.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Regeneration Frameworks</td>
<td>Regeneration strategy documents for different areas of Manchester</td>
<td><a href="http://www.manchester.gov.uk/info/200079/regeneration">www.manchester.gov.uk/info/200079/regeneration</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think Family Strategy</td>
<td>A whole-family, holistic approach to all customers from all services, linked to Every Child Matters</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/everychildmatters/strategy/parents/ID9laskclient/thinkfamily/tf/">www.dcsf.gov.uk/everychildmatters/strategy/parents/ID9laskclient/thinkfamily/tf/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 6: Next steps

As with the full JSNA, it is important that this Locality JSNA continues to be a ‘living’ document that is of practical use to commissioners and other professionals working at a locality level. This final chapter outlines some of the actions that need to be taken forward in order to achieve this objective.

Taking forward the joint priorities

The joint priorities identified in Chapter 4 provide a starting point for all agencies working in the locality to begin to discuss how they can work together in a more-effective manner in order to address these issues and to identify and implement cost-efficiencies where appropriate. This is consistent with the thinking behind the Ardwick Statutory City Region (SCR) pilot and other similar work taking place across Greater Manchester, as well as with the Think Family approach referred to throughout this document.

The work that has been undertaken to develop this Locality JSNA has already started to bring together some of these agencies and has helped to improve communication and raise awareness of individual priorities and commissioning approaches. It is important that local agencies build on this work and identify mechanisms to build on the good work that has already taken place. It is recommended that the existing Locality JSNA Working Groups should be maintained and strengthened in order to provide a forum for taking forward some of these discussions. The current membership of these groups is described in the Appendix to this document.

Linking outputs with existing strategy development

There are a range of strategies that would benefit from being informed by the data and priorities contained within the Locality JSNA.

Children’s Services

The Children and Young People’s Plan (CYPP) is the single, strategic, overarching plan for all local services for children and young people, including health services, police, local authority and voluntary organisations. It sets out a vision for children and young people and identifies how outcomes for children and young people can be best improved. The most recent plan covers the period 2010 to 2012. It is important that there are, and continue to be, strong links between the CYPP and the JSNA.

Directorate for Adults

The Directorate for Adults (formerly Adult Social Care) now encompasses a broader agenda for the adult population and an ambitious vision for the city. The Locality JSNA will greatly assist in this new wider remit as it provides further clarification on our strategic priorities around:

- The worklessness agenda and helping people sustain employment, looking specifically at a range of mental health needs and delivering better outcomes for Manchester residents through a greater focus on employment opportunities.
- Working better at a collaborative level with Council and health colleagues to support specific cross-cutting initiatives such as the Think Family strategy.
- Exploiting and maximising opportunities for health and social care integration.
- Developing an integrated commissioning framework with health and wider partners to achieve mutual priorities and objectives, together with a stronger focus on VFM.

The introduction of Individual Budgets provides an opportunity for customers to exercise greater choice and control in the types of services they prefer and this requires the Directorate to develop stronger links with our colleagues in leisure, libraries and education in order to develop universal services for our customers. This is part of the Putting People First agenda and underpins the transformational work the Directorate is currently developing in order to put customers at the heart of their social care aspirations.
Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust

The Locality JSNA is an important document in terms of drawing together data sources and highlighting areas of common priority across providers and commissioners within Manchester. This document will be one of the key source documents that the Trust will use to inform market assessment and resultant IBP. It will help to ensure that the Trust develops and delivers services that meet the needs of the community patches they are based in by working collaboratively with other agencies on shared priorities, which build on the natural strengths within communities.

NHS Manchester

The Manchester JSNA has been a key element in the development of NHS Manchester’s Commissioning Strategic Plan (CSP) and has been central to its aspiration to become a world-class commissioner. The Government’s stated objective to delegate responsibility for commissioning health services to new GP consortia makes it even more important that commissioners have a robust understanding of the needs of the population at a more local level. Any future revision to the CSP will need to take account of the requirements of these proposed GP consortia and is likely to draw heavily on the analysis contained within the Locality JSNAs.

The National Health Service (Pharmaceutical Services) (Amendment) Regulations that came into force on 1 April 2010 require PCTs to develop and publish pharmaceutical needs assessments (PNAs), with the first to be published by 1 February 2011. These are intended to form the basis for determining market entry to NHS pharmaceutical services provision. National guidance states that the PNA should take account of the JSNA. The Manchester PNA (currently in preparation) will be based on the geographies used for the Locality JSNAs and will draw heavily on the analysis contained in this document. This will help to prevent duplication of work and avoid multiple consultations.

Revision of full Manchester JSNA

There is a continuing statutory duty on the Director of Public Health, Strategic Director for Adults and Director of Children’s Services to produce a JSNA for Manchester. The existing city-wide JSNA Working Group, currently chaired by the Head of Health Intelligence at NHS Manchester, will be tasked with ensuring that the priorities identified in the Locality JSNA are fed into the process for refreshing the full Manchester JSNA. This will establish a cycle of updating the city-wide and locality JSNAs on an alternate basis.

Maintenance of Locality JSNA Core Dataset

A Locality JSNA Core Dataset has been produced to support the production of the Locality JSNA and inform the identification of priorities. It contains information relating to each of the sections of the Locality JSNA, as well as a number of additional indicators that it was not possible to cover as part of the brief analysis provided in Chapter 4. The dataset has strong links with the data published in the Manchester Partnership’s State of the Wards report and provides a quick source of information for commissioners, service providers, public health professionals and other individuals requiring intelligence on a particular area. It can also be used as a starting point for further analyses of historical trends and future demand for services.

Part of the process of making sure that the Locality JSNA becomes a ‘live’ document will be to ensure that the Core Dataset is maintained and developed. The aim is to make the full dataset available online and to update it on a regular basis. Work is currently underway to investigate the use of more interactive web-based tools for making this and other sources of ward level information available in a more user-friendly format. In order to ensure that optimum use is made of the Core Dataset, and of the Locality JSNA more generally, it will be important that agencies promote both of these products within their respective organisations.
Evaluation

There is a continuing need to evaluate the outcomes of the JSNA and Locality JSNA work in Manchester from the perspective of both process and impact. An initial SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats) analysis among members of the city-wide JSNA Working Group found that the JSNA was perceived as having had a positive impact in terms of greater collaboration and partnership working but that there were ongoing issues in terms of community engagement, levels of awareness and lack of capacity to sustain the JSNA process. The SWOT analysis is discussed in more depth in the JSNA Supplement for 2009/10, which is available on the JSNA website (see www.manchester.gov.uk/jsna).

The SWOT analysis also identified a weakness in terms of the lack of evaluation of the impact of the JSNA on commissioning decisions. The city-wide JSNA Working Group will work with the Research Officer at the Manchester Joint Health Unit to develop and implement a framework for evaluating this aspect of the JSNA.

Contributing to JSNA evidence base

The work to produce the Locality JSNAs has been funded through Manchester’s involvement in the National JSNA Dataset Project. This was designed to analyse the different elements of what constitutes a strong JSNA (in particular, identifying what has worked well), understand gaps in terms of data, tools, guidance and expertise, and develop innovative local best practice focusing on the effective use of data. The outputs of the Locality JSNA, together with the findings from any associated evaluation work, will therefore help to contribute to the wider national evidence base around JSNAs.
## Appendix 1: Membership of working group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anna Bax</td>
<td>Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust</td>
<td>Business Development Manager</td>
<td><a href="mailto:anna.bax@mhsc.nhs.uk">anna.bax@mhsc.nhs.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Pritchard</td>
<td>Children's Services</td>
<td>District Partnership Co-ordinator (Wythenshawe)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:c.pritchard@manchester.gov.uk">c.pritchard@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabrielle Wilson</td>
<td>NHS Manchester</td>
<td>Public Health Consultant Nurse</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gabrielle.wilson@manchester.nhs.uk">gabrielle.wilson@manchester.nhs.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemma Wright</td>
<td>Joint Health Unit</td>
<td>Specialist Project Assistant</td>
<td><a href="mailto:g.wright1@manchester.gov.uk">g.wright1@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Jerram</td>
<td>Joint Health Unit</td>
<td>Programme Manager (Projects and Resources)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:j.jerram@manchester.gov.uk">j.jerram@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Anderson</td>
<td>Directorate for Adults</td>
<td>Lead Commissioner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:l.anderson1@manchester.gov.uk">l.anderson1@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Leggat</td>
<td>Children's Services</td>
<td>District Partnership Co-ordinator (South District)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:l.leggat@manchester.gov.uk">l.leggat@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Ellison</td>
<td>Wythenshawe Regeneration</td>
<td>Principal Regeneration Officer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mark.ellison@manchester.gov.uk">mark.ellison@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naresh Kanumilli</td>
<td>South Manchester PBC</td>
<td>Clinical Lead</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nkanumilli@nhs.net">nkanumilli@nhs.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Bendel</td>
<td>Joint Health Unit</td>
<td>Head of Health Intelligence, JHU/NHS</td>
<td><a href="mailto:neil.bendel@manchester.nhs.uk">neil.bendel@manchester.nhs.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Lyons</td>
<td>Joint Health Unit</td>
<td>LJSNA Writer and Support Officer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:p.lyons@manchester.gov.uk">p.lyons@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pip Cotterill</td>
<td>Directorate for Adults</td>
<td>Lead Commissioner (Older People)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:p.cotterill@manchester.gov.uk">p.cotterill@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Royle</td>
<td>NHS Manchester</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:sharon.royle@manchester.nhs.uk">sharon.royle@manchester.nhs.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val Bayliss Brideaux</td>
<td>NHS Manchester</td>
<td>Engagement Manager</td>
<td><a href="mailto:val.bb@manchester.nhs.uk">val.bb@manchester.nhs.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoe Robertson</td>
<td>Directorate for Adults</td>
<td>Head of Market Intelligence</td>
<td><a href="mailto:z.robertson@manchester.gov.uk">z.robertson@manchester.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2: List of indicators in Locality JSNA Core Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Our Population</strong></td>
<td>Mid-year population estimate by broad age group</td>
<td>Mid-2007</td>
<td>Office for National Statistics (ONS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subnational population projection</td>
<td>2006-based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-year population estimate by ethnic group</td>
<td>Mid-2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Derived population projections by ethnic group</td>
<td>2007–15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life expectancy at birth</td>
<td>2006–08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment and Environment</strong></td>
<td>Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) score</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Department of Communities and Local Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) score</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Department of Work and Pensions (DWP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working age benefit claimants</td>
<td>Nov 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>May 2010</td>
<td>Office for National Statistics (ONS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean Income (£)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>CACI (Paycheck)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vacant property rate</td>
<td>Dec 2009</td>
<td>Manchester City Council Housing Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing tenure by type</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private sector housing complaints by type</td>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private dwellings below ‘decent homes’ standard</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% overcrowding in private sector dwellings</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of reported crimes by type</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>GMAC data hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fear of crime – day/night-time</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>Place Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfaction with police and local services</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents</td>
<td>2005–08</td>
<td>Manchester Collision Investigation Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense of belonging to local area</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>Place Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfaction with local area as a place to live (%)</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage happy (%)</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfaction with life (%)</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A Good Start in Life</strong></td>
<td>Educational attainment – Key Stage 2</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Manchester Children's Services Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational attainment – GCSE level</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attendance – primary and secondary school</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persistent absence – primary and secondary school</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School pupils whose first language is not English</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 to 18-year-olds not in education, employment or training (NEET)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infant mortality rate</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Manchester Joint Health Unit/ONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Live birth rate</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate of low birthweight births</td>
<td>2006–08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Births to lone mothers</td>
<td>2006–08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Childhood immunisation uptake at two years</td>
<td>Jan 2009</td>
<td>NHS Manchester Child Health Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under-18 conception rate</td>
<td>2005–07</td>
<td>Teenage Pregnancy Unit/ONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Time period</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention</td>
<td>Four-week smoking quitters</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>Manchester Stop Smoking Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residents not in good health</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Manchester Residents Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current drinkers (at least once a week)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumption of at least five portions of fruit and vegetables a day</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undertaking moderate exercise at least five times a week</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spending on alcohol and tobacco per head of population</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>CACI (Community Insights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personalisation</td>
<td>Long-term illness or disability (%)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Manchester Residents Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All age all cause mortality (AAACM) rate</td>
<td>2006–08</td>
<td>Manchester Joint Health Unit/ONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality rate from all cancers 0–74 years</td>
<td>2006–08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality rate from all circulatory diseases 0–74 years</td>
<td>2006–08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Services</td>
<td>Distance to nearest GP (miles)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>CACI (Community Insights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance to nearest pharmacy (miles)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance to nearest NHS hospital (miles)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance to nearest supermarket (miles)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standardised hospital admission rate by admission type</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>Admitted Patient Care SUS CDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Users of Directorate for adult customers by service area</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Directorate for Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Users of Directorate for adult customers by broad age group</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Users of Directorate for adult customers by ethnic group</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carers in receipt of a grant-funded service</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of individuals being cared for by area of residence</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipients of Individual Budgets by care group</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of nursing and care home places</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing provision for users of learning disability services</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Users of learning disability services by gender</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Users of learning disability services living with their family</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Users of learning disability services by health condition</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Referrals to Short Term Team (STT)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of registered blind and partially sighted</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Referrals to mental health services by gender and broad age band</td>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipients of mental health services by gender and broad age band</td>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Themed matrices of strategic priorities

This section contains the original prioritisation matrices used for gathering the information presented in Chapter 4. The matrices contain all the strategic priorities identified by the partners in each locality. The themes within which each of the priorities has been grouped were agreed collectively by members of the Locality JSNA Working Groups. Although the themes used in each locality are broadly similar, there are some differences between the matrices. These reflect the outcomes of the discussions held by the different working groups.

A separate matrix has been produced for each of the priority themes. In the matrix each box represents one particular priority issue. The colour of the box identifies which partner submitted that issue as a priority (e.g., NHS Manchester, Directorate for Adults, Children’s Services etc.). The wording used in the matrix has not been changed from that submitted by each partner agency and subsequently agreed by the working groups. Note that the order in which the issues are listed is entirely random and does not represent any ranking of the issues in order of importance.

### Personalisation matrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address mental ill health.</th>
<th>Develop a mental health recovery model for use by providers of mental health services across the city.</th>
<th>Develop relationships with housing providers to develop innovative and secured tenancies for mental health service users.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Key:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBC hub</th>
<th>NHS Manchester</th>
<th>Adult Social Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMHSCT</td>
<td>Regeneration</td>
<td>Children’s Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Access to Appropriate Services matrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increasing access to preventative mental health services.</td>
<td>Commission/recommission priority Supporting People services in each locality for each of the 21 Supporting People Client Groups as identified within the needs analysis.</td>
<td>Broaden the range of services offered by the Trust to complement traditional clinical services by focusing on social community and personal development needs, not solely on clinical/diagnostic need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase provision of play opportunities for children and young people.</td>
<td>Procure community legal services in partnership with the Legal Services Commission in three hubs based on needs analysis of 2008/09 data.</td>
<td>Improve the experience of and timely access to all Trust services, with particular emphasis on in-patient services, by making modifications to the process and systems in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving access to health services: provide mini-health facilities in redeveloped schools, maximise awareness and utilisation of new facilities, develop more outreach capacity in non-traditional settings, and increase local delivery.</td>
<td>Develop a city-wide approach to intermediate care jointly with the PCT, and ensure that all who are entitled receive it.</td>
<td>Complete and agree the patch-based model of service delivery, which delivers integrated mental health services close to home, focusing on the client as principal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a new five-year Supporting People Strategy, including full sector reviews for each of the 21 client groups.</td>
<td>Increase the provision of respite care beds.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Employment and Worklessness matrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve provision for children and young people, incorporating the Youth Offer.</td>
<td>Tackling worklessness: a lot of work is already underway but there is an expectation that even more will happen to address (reduce) the number of people out of work and claiming incapacity and other benefits.</td>
<td>Develop employment partnerships with other agencies/employers to sustain service users in employment and to improve the employment opportunities for mental health service users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the employment and volunteering opportunities for disabled people by offering access to different types of employment/training/placement schemes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Prevention matrices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrices</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reducing Obesity – all ages.</td>
<td>Tackling the major killers, especially smoking and heart disease, by improving diet/healthy eating, increasing participation in physical activity, reducing rates of smoking, promoting sensible alcohol consumption.</td>
<td>Commission the extension of neighbourhood care groups in Wythenshawe to meet identified need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better focus for targeting of resources to reduce health inequalities.</td>
<td>Enhance take-up of Family Support services and ensure all services adopt a ‘Think Family’ approach.</td>
<td>Develop and agree the recovery model to direct all mental health service and support activity in Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing the number of teenage conceptions.</td>
<td>Commission a Mental Health First Aid Programme.</td>
<td>Develop and commence a local anti-stigma campaign and strategy and work programme, linked to national initiatives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A Good Start in Life matrices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrices</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve school attendance rates. This also means resolving variations in attendance between schools (ie. improving attendance in the poorest performing schools in South Manchester).</td>
<td>Reduce the number of children subject to a formal child protection plan who become LAC in Wythenshawe (particularly Sharston and Woodhouse Park). Improve early intervention and support to achieve this.</td>
<td>Strengthen family-focused support; enhance school-based delivery of services for children and families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embed use of Common Assessment Framework (CAF) to enhance multi-agency working in respect of safeguarding work.</td>
<td>Better health for children and families; support improved maternal and infant nutrition.</td>
<td>Reduce levels of domestic abuse in Wythenshawe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Population matrices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrices</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health: prevalence. Service use/access. We also need to do some work on mental health outcomes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Locality Joint Strategic Needs Assessment Narrative Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Box number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key documents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative data (if required):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance data:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target data (if required):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>